



COURSE DESCRIPTION CARD - SYLLABUS

Course name

THE NEW PARADIGM FOR LIGHTWEIGHT DESIGN – BIOMIMETIC STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION

Course

Proposed by Discipline

Mechanical Engineering

Type of studies

Doctoral School

Form of study

full-time

Year/Semester

II/3, III/5

Course offered in

English

Requirements

elective

Number of hours

Lecture

4

Tutorials

Projects/seminars

Number of credit points

1

Lecturers

Responsible for the course/lecturer:

prof. dr hab. inż. Michał Nowak

email: michal.nowak@put.poznan.pl

phone: +48 61 665 2041

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Poznan University of Technology

ul. Jana Pawła II 24, 60-965 Poznan, Poland

Responsible for the course/lecturer:

Prerequisites

Knowledge: knowledge of methods of geometry modelling in CAD systems, basic knowledge of the construction of computer systems, basic knowledge in the field of structural analysis.

Skills: ability to use computer systems, the CAD system in the basic scope, model geometry in a CAD system and use finite element method in practice.

Social competencies: ability to work in a team, understanding the need to learn and acquire new knowledge.

Course objective

Transfer of knowledge about methods and processes related to advanced virtual design. Indication of the role of structural optimization in the design process. In the course new paradigm for structural optimization without volume constraint is presented. Since the problem of stiffest design (compliance minimization) has no solution without additional assumptions, usually the volume of the material in the design domain is limited. The biomimetic approach, based on trabecular bone remodeling phenomenon



is used to eliminate the volume constraint from the topology optimization procedure. Instead of the volume constraint, the Lagrange multiplier is assumed to have a constant value during the whole optimization procedure. With the use of the new optimization paradigm, it is possible to minimize the compliance by obtaining different topologies for different materials. It is also possible to obtain different topologies for different load magnitudes. Both features of the presented approach are crucial for the design of lightweight structures, allowing the actual weight of the structure to be minimized.

Course-related learning outcomes

Knowledge

A PhD student who graduated from doctoral school knows and understands:

- 1) global achievements, covering theoretical foundations as well as general and selected specific issues of structural optimization, to the extent that enables revision of existing paradigms, [P8S_WG/SzD_W01]
- 2) key developmental trends of of structural optimization. [P8S_WG/SzD_W02]

Skills

A PhD student who graduated from doctoral school can:

- 1) use knowledge from mathematics, mechanics, computer science to creatively identify formulate and innovatively solve complex problems or to perform research tasks. A PhD student can:
 - characterize the goals of structural optimization,
 - apply practical structural optimization algorithms in the industrial environment, [P8S_UW/SzD_U01]
- 2) A PhD student can:
 - characterize the goals of structural optimization,
 - apply practical structural optimization algorithms in the industrial environment. [P8S_UW/SzD_U01]

Social competences

A PhD student who graduated from doctoral school is ready to:

- 1) describe the algorithms and available software in the field of structural optimization and critically assess achievements within structural optimization discipline. [P8S_KK/SzD_K01]

Methods for verifying learning outcomes and assessment criteria

Learning outcomes presented above are verified as follows:

PQF code	Methods for verification of learning outcomes	Assessment criteria
W01, W02	Short answer questions (concerning the area of structural optimization) in context of the new design paradigm	Test for: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- level of knowledge,- application of knowledge,- potential problem-solving skills
U01	Short answer questions (concerning the area of structural optimization) in context of the new design paradigm	Test for: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- level of knowledge,- application of



		knowledge, - potential problem-solving skills
K01	Short answer questions (concerning the area of structural optimization) in context of the new design paradigm	Test for: - level of knowledge, - application of knowledge, - potential problem-solving skills

Programme content

1. Well known MATLAB topology based optimization code, developed by Ole Sigmund, is used as a tool for the new approach presentation. The code was modified and the comparison of the original and the modified, biomimetic optimization algorithm is also presented.

2. The biomimetic optimization method reflects the real process of trabecular bone remodeling phenomenon. Cosmoprojector – the optimization system is presented in details. The industry ready optimization system joins in one procedure optimization of shape and topology. New paradigm for lightweight design allows to start from the existing solution and natural implementation of multi load-case approach.

Teaching methods

Lecture: multimedia presentation including illustrations and examples.

Bibliography

Basic

1. M. Nowak, J. Sokołowski, and A. Żochowski, “Justification of a certain algorithm for shape optimization in 3D elasticity”, Struct. Multidiscip. Optim., vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 721–734, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00158-017-1780-7.
2. M. Nowak, J. Sokołowski, and A. Żochowski, “Biomimetic approach to compliance optimization and multiple load cases”, J. Optim. Theory Appl., vol. 184, no. 1, pp. 210–225, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10957-019-01502-1.
3. J. Sokołowski and J-P. Zolesio, Introduction to Shape Optimization. Shape Sensitivity Analysis, Springer-Verlag, 1992, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-58106-9.
4. D. Gaweł et al., “New biomimetic approach to the aircraft wing structural design based on aeroelastic analysis”, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Tech. Sci., vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 741–750, 2017, doi: 10.1515/bpasts-2017-0080.



5. M. Bendsoe and O. Sigmund, *Topology optimization. Theory, methods and applications*, Berlin Heidelberg New York, Springer, 2003, doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-05086-6.
6. M. Bendsoe and N. Kikuchi, "Generating optimal topologies in structural design using a homogenization method", *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, vol. 71, pp. 197–224, 1988.
7. O. Sigmund and K. Maute, "Topology optimization approaches", *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.*, vol. 48, pp. 1031–1055, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s00158-013-0978-6.
8. Z. Ming and R. Fleury, "Fail-safe topology optimization", *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 1225–1243, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00158-016-1507-1.
9. L. Krog et al., "Topology optimization of aircraft wing box ribs", *AIAA Paper*, 10th AIAA/ISSMO Multidisciplinary Analysis and Optimization Conference, Albany, New York, 2004, doi: 10.2514/6.2004-4481.
10. Z. Luo et al., "A new procedure for aerodynamic missile designs using topological optimization approach of continuum structures", *Aerosp. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 10, pp. 364–373, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.ast.2005.12.006.
11. M. Zhou et al., "Industrial application of topology optimization for combined conductive and convective heat transfer problems", *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.*, vol. 54, no 4, pp. 1045–1060, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00158-016-1433-2.
12. G. Allaire et al., "The homogenization method for topology optimization of structures: old and new", *Interdiscip. Inf. Sci.*, vol.25/2, pp. 75–146, 2019, doi: 10.4036/iis.2019.B.01.
13. G. Allaire and R.V. Kohn, "Topology Optimization and Optimal Shape Design Using Homogenization", *Topology Design of Structures. NATO ASI Series – Series E: Applied Sciences*, M. Bendsoe, C. Soares – eds., vol. 227, pp. 207–218, 1993, doi: 10.1007/978-94-011-1804-0_14.
14. G. Allaire et al., "Shape optimization by the homogenization method", *Numer. Math.*, vol. 76, no. 1, pp. 27–68, 1997, doi: 10.1007/s002110050253.
15. G. Allaire, *Shape Optimization by the Homogenization Method*, Springer, 2002, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4684-9286-6.
16. J. Wolff, "The Classic: On the Inner Architecture of Bones and its Importance for Bone Growth", *Clin. Orthop. Rel. Res.*, vol. 468, no. 4, pp. 1056–1065, 2010, doi: 10.1007/s11999-010-1239-2.
17. H. M. Frost, *The Laws of Bone Structure*, C.C. Thomas, Springfield, 1964. [18] R. Huiskes et al., "Adaptive bone-remodeling theory applied to prosthetic-design analysis", *J. Biomech.*, vol. 20, pp. 1135–1150, 1987.
18. R. Huiskes, "If bone is the answer, then what is the question?", *J. Anat.*, vol. 197, no. 2, pp. 145–156, 2000.



19. D.R. Carter, “Mechanical loading histories and cortical bone remodeling”, *Calcif. Tissue Int.*, vol. 36, no. Suppl. 1, pp. 19–24, 1984, doi: 10.1007/BF02406129.

20. R.F.M. van Oers, R. Ruimerman, E. Tanck, P.A.J. Hilbers, R. Huiskes, “A unified theory for osteonal and hemi-osteonal remodeling”, *Bone*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 250–259, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.Bone.2007.10.009.

Additional

1. J. Zhu, et al., “A review of topology optimization for additive manufacturing: Status and challenges”, *Chin. J. Aeronaut.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 9–110, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.cja.2020.09.020.

2. O. Sigmund, “A 99 line topology optimization code written in Matlab”, *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 120–127, 2001, doi: 10.1007/s001580050176.

Breakdown of average student's workload

	Hours	ECTS
Total workload	29	1.0
Classes requiring direct contact with the teacher	4	0.5
Student's own work (literature studies, preparation for tutorials, project preparation) ¹	25	0.5

¹ delete or add other activities as appropriate